

# New England States Committee on Electricity



# **Overview**

Context Analytical Approach and Modeling Assumptions

Scenario Analysis 

Mechanisms Analysis

Modeling Results

Energy, Capacity, and Emissions

Missing Money

Phase I: Scenario Analysis - Observations

Next Steps

#### What the Analysis will Not Provide

The modeling is illustrative, rather than predictive. It is based on many assumptions, any one or more of which history may prove wrong to varying degrees. The analysis will provide *directionally indicative* information about a range of hypothetical scenarios. It is not a plan, and it is a not a collective or individual state view of or preference about the future. The costs LEI's model identifies are based on assumptions and therefore should not be interpreted as an actual price tag.

The Study does *not* attempt to:

- Precisely forecast the timing of future generator retirements, or infrastructure development.
- Evaluate cost-effectiveness under an avoided cost approach.
- Optimize the level, timing, or location of renewable and clean energy resources.
- Suggest winners or losers.

This study should be viewed accordingly, and critically.

NESCOE welcomes from market participants or others any facts or data that clarify, correct, or should be considered in reviewing the study results.

### **Context for Mechanisms 2.0 Study**



#### **Mechanisms 2.0 Analytical Approach**



As in the background paper, producing information about a range of mechanisms is not intended to, and should not be interpreted to, suggest a preference for any particular mechanism. The work product is not a plan: it will only provide a directionally indicative sense of a range of hypothetical futures.



### **LEI Modeling and Economic Analysis**

### **Scenario Analysis Design**



### Mechanisms 2.0 – Base Case

- Represents "Business As Usual"
  - A variation on London Economics International's (LEI) multi-client Base Case
- Continuation of existing state policies related to RPS and carbon emissions
  - Current RPS targets with transmission transfer limits based on recent ISO-NE analysis
    - · LEI adds on-shore wind capacity until transmission interfaces constrain new entry
  - Current RGGI allowance price forwards through 2020 are escalated at an assumed inflation rate (2%)
- "Just in time" economic new entry and retirements based on forecasted market dynamics
  - Results of Forward Capacity Auctions (FCA) through FCA 10 incorporated into new entry and retirements
  - Integrated energy and capacity market modeling through the study period; includes convex demand curves
  - Cost of New Entry (CONE): Combined Cycle Gas Turbine is Offer Review Trigger Price (\$9.46/kW-month) based on recent FCA results and perceived CONE trend
- Baseline expectations for load growth under weather normal conditions
  - ISO-NE CELT 50/50 Load Forecast, net of Energy Efficiency and behind-the-meter Solar PV
- LEI's proprietary fuel price forecasts, based on known and committed infrastructure projects
  - Levelized Cost of Pipeline model for Algonquin City Gate natural gas delivered prices
  - Current forwards and actual historical prices escalated at EIA-forecasted growth rates for oil and coal
- Imports from neighboring systems assumed to continue historical trends over existing ties
- No Clean Energy RFP respondents have been included in the Base Case

# **Scenario Design - Assumptions**

Scenario		Generation			Transmission	Imports	
ţ		Detiromente	New Entry				
		Reurements	2025	2030			
Base Case			FCA 10	FCA 10 + 1,155 MW On-Shore Wind + 277 MW Solar PV + 30 MW OffShore Wind + 2,194 MW Natural Gas	FCA 10 + 1,155 MW On-Shore Wind + 402 MW Solar PV + 30 MW OffShore Wind + 3,694 MW Natural Gas	ISO-NE RSP List (June 2016 PAC Transmission Transfer Capabilities Update)	Historical trends continue over existing ties
Alternative Scenarios ***Inherit Assumptions from Base Case***	Expanded RPS	35% by 2025 40% by 2030		+2,750 MW On-Shore Wind +600 MW Solar PV +1,500 MW Off-Shore Wind +1,694 MW Natural Gas	+3,575 MW On-Shore Wind +1,000 MW Solar PV +2,000 MW Off-Shore Wind +1,694 MW Natural Gas	+ 2,400 MW HVDC	
		40% by 2025 45% by 2025		+4,250 MW On-Shore Wind +1,000 MW Solar PV +2,000 MW Off-Shore Wind +1,694 MW Natural Gas	+5,500 MW On-Shore Wind +1,250 MW Solar PV +2,500 MW Off-Shore Wind +1,694 MW Natural Gas	+ 3,600 MW HVDC	
	Clean Energy Imports			+1,694 MW Natural Gas	+ 2,694 MW Natural Gas	+1,000 MW HVDC	+1000 MW CSO (7.9 TWh/year)
	Nuclear Retirements		3,350 MW Nuclear units retire by 2025	+ 5,194 MW Natural Gas	+ 7,194 MW Natural Gas		
	Combined Renewable and Clean Energy			+4,250 MW On-Shore Wind +1,000 MW Solar PV +2,000 MW Off-Shore Wind +1,694 MW Natural Gas	+5,500 MW On-Shore Wind +1,250 MW Solar PV +2,500 MW Off-Shore Wind +2,194 MW Natural Gas	+3600 MW HVDC +1000 MW HVDC	+1000 MW CSO (7.9 TWh/year)
	No Transmission			+4,250 MW On-Shore Wind +1,000 MW Solar PV +2,000 MW Off-Shore Wind +1,694 MW Natural Gas	+5,500 MW On-Shore Wind +1,250 MW Solar PV +2,500 MW Off-Shore Wind +1,694 MW Natural Gas	+3600 MW HVDC	

# **Scenario Design: Assumptions**

Scenario	
Base Case	
1: Expanded RPS 35%-40% ("Expanded")	
2: More Aggressive RPS 40%-45% ("Aggressive")	
3: Clean Energy Imports ("Imports")	
4: Combined Renewable and Clean Energy ("Combined")	
5: Nuclear Retirements ("No Nuclear")	
6: Expanded RPS Without Transmission ("No Transmission")	

### **Forecasted Energy Market Prices**



### **Forecasted Capacity Market Prices**



#### **Forecasted Power Sector Carbon Emissions**



#### **Energy Market Competitive Dynamics**



# Scenario Analysis and Energy Market Competition





### **Excess Supply Effect on Production**



# Estimated Missing Money: Selected Resource Types - 2025



# Estimated Missing Money: Selected Resource Types - 2030



# Estimated Missing Money: Existing Natural Gas



# Estimated Missing Money: New Dual Fuel

**2025 2030** 



# Estimated Missing Money: Existing Solar PV

2025 2030

\$100 \$80 Missing Money (\$/MWh) \$60 \$40 \$20 \$0 Nuclear Nuclear Nuclear **Base Case** Expanded More More Combined Clean **Retirement Retirement Retirement** Energy **RPS 35-40 Aggressive Aggressive Renewable** RPS 40-45 RPS 40-45 and Clean Gas\*1.5 Gas\*1.25 **Imports** w/ No Tx Energy

# Expanded RPS Scenarios and Treatment of Transmission for New On-Shore Wind Resources

Scenario:	Transmission for Deliverability	Assumption of Transmission Costs Responsibility	
Expanded DDS 25% 40%	Included in the Model (assumes adequate transmission has been	Outside of the Markets as an Elective Transmission Upgrade ("ETU") or Public Policy Project	
Expanded KPS 35%-40%	built), Enabling Renewable Energy Delivery	Paid for by New On-Shore Wind Resources in their interconnection agreements	
	Included in the Model (assumes	Outside of the Markets as an ETU or Public Policy Project	
More Aggressive RPS 40%- 45%	adequate transmission has been built), Enabling Renewable Energy Delivery	Paid for by New On-Shore Wind Resources in their interconnection agreements	
More Aggressive RPS 40%- 45% without Transmission	Not modeled, resulting in Congestion and Curtailments	None	

### Estimated Missing Money: New On-shore Wind Resources

**2025 2030** 

\$120 \$100 Missing Money (\$/MWh) \$80 \$60 \$40 \$20 \$0 **Base Case Expanded Expanded Combined** Combined Nuclear Nuclear Nuclear Clean More More More **Retirement Retirement Retirement** Energy RPS 35-40 RPS 35-40 Aggressive Aggressive Aggressive Renewable Renewable w/ Tx Cost RPS 40-45 RPS 40-45 RPS 40-45 and Clean and Clean Gas\*1.5 Gas\*1.25 Imports w/ No Tx w/ Tx Cost Energy Energy w/

y Energy w Tx Cost

### **Phase I: Scenario Analysis Observations**

- When the LEI model adds new renewable generating resources or additional clean energy imports to the 1. New England system with zero or very low marginal costs, those added resources have the effect of decreasing the amount of money that all resources earn from New England's capacity and energy markets.
- 2. Under Base Case load conditions, if the region adds more than 25,000,000 MWh (annually) of new renewable resources and/or clean energy imports by 2025, existing renewable and clean energy resources produce less power. The assumed load forecast in all scenarios includes regional energy efficiency programs and distributed generation impacts consistent with the 2016 ISO-NE Capacity Energy Loads and Transmission ("CELT") Report's load forecast net of passive demand resources and behind-the-meter solar photovoltaics.
- In the Base Case, if New England maintains *current* RPS targets and does not add transmission for new 3. on-shore wind, the modeling shows that there will not be enough renewable resources to satisfy the states' aggregated RPS targets in 2025 and 2030.
- 4. If New England does not build new transmission to allow *new* on-shore wind resources to move power to population centers, both *new and existing* on-shore wind resources will operate less often and earn less revenue in 2025 and 2030.
- 5. Under every hypothetical scenario, LEI's analysis projects that nuclear units, existing oil combustion turbines, oil internal combustion turbines, oil steam, and pumped storage remain profitable in 2025 and 2030.
- 6. If New England's nuclear resources retire and/or if New England has only enough renewable resources to meet current RPS levels, New England's emissions will increase significantly.
- 7. Different types of renewable and clean energy resources have different effects on wholesale electricity 24 costs and emissions.

NESCOE welcomes from market participants or others any facts or data that clarify, correct, or should be considered in reviewing the study results

### **Questions?**

More information is available at <u>nescoe.com</u>

