

# Northeast Energy and Commerce Association: 19<sup>th</sup> Annual Fuels Conference

## ***Gas-Electric Study Phase III: Natural Gas Infrastructure and Electric Generation: Proposed Solutions for New England***

New England States Committee on Electricity

September 26, 2013

# New England States Committee on Electricity

New England's Regional State Committee governed by a Board of Managers appointed by each of the New England Governors to represent the collective views of the six New England states on regional electricity matters

- **Focus:** Resource Adequacy, System Planning & Expansion
- **Resources:** 6 full-time staff with diverse disciplines & experience. Consultants, primarily for transmission engineering & independent studies
- **More information:** including all filings & comments at [www.nescoe.com](http://www.nescoe.com)

# Overview

- **Gas-Electric Study Overview**
- **Phase III Approach**
  - Scenario Analysis
  - Assumptions and Solution Details
- **Phase III Results**
  - Costs and Benefits
  - Black & Veatch Findings
  - Some States Observations

Phase III Study Report, detailed slides, & states' Notice of Issuance  
available at [www.nescoe.com](http://www.nescoe.com)

*In the fall of 2013, states will consider the path forward*

# Black & Veatch Gas-Electric Study: Purpose & Limitations


- Assess sufficiency of gas infrastructure to support power generation
- Identify cost-benefit of solutions that could alleviate gas constraint

(Study Period: 2014 - 2029)

## Study Limitations

The study is not a plan. It is based on hypothetical assumptions, any one or more of which history may prove wrong. Further, study results are directional and indicative. Studies are not predictions of costs that would emerge in a competitive solicitation, as the result of a negotiation, or that could be identified when a project becomes operational. By assessing different hypothetical futures, the study does not pretend to have perfect foresight. Rather, it assumes policymakers will apply their judgment to the assumptions in each of the hypothetical scenarios studied, and their relation to policymakers' beliefs about the future. The Gas-Electric Study should be viewed accordingly, and critically.

# Gas-Electric Three Phase Study Overview



**Phase I:** Black & Veatch concluded that the New England natural gas infrastructure will be increasingly under pressure from demand growth from the power sector and that other previous efforts to study the issue had significant information gaps

In **Phase II**, Black & Veatch:

- Concluded that for the 14 New England sub-regions analyzed, 11 will exceed the constraint capacity level by more than 30 days/year under current infrastructure; and
- In consultation with the states, identified scenarios and sensitivities for further analysis

In **Phase III**, Black & Veatch:

- Refined cost estimates associated with potential solutions; and
- Performed computer simulations to estimate benefits of potential solutions, the market price effects of extreme cold weather, and customer cost savings associated with various levels of gas and electricity demand

# Phase III: Approach

---



# General Approach

- Two gas-sector and two electric sector potential “solutions” to gas pipeline congestion under each scenario
  - Selection and “size” based on anticipated extent and duration of pipeline congestion
    - More Anticipated Congestion → “Larger” Solution
  - High Demand Scenario Exception: testing one less electric-sector solution to enable testing of sensitivity to weather
  - Low Demand Scenario Exception: testing one less gas-sector solution to enable testing of sensitivity to policy escalation
- *Consumer Costs difference between production cost modeling runs*  
→ *Proxy for economic benefits*



# Scenario Analysis: Three Possible Futures & Solutions

Base Case



Future with higher gas demand, reduced availability of other power sources



Future with low growth in demand for power & gas



Base Case Scenario 5 Solutions Studied (2, 3, 4a, 4b, 5)	High Demand Scenario 3 Solutions Studied (7, 8, 9)	Low Demand Scenario 3 Solutions Studied (12, 13, 14)
1. No New Infrastructure	6. No New Infrastructure	11. No New Infrastructure
2. Pipeline	7. Pipeline	12. LNG Peak Shaving
3. LNG Import	8. LNG Import	13. Imported Firm Canadian
4. Imported Canadian: a.) Economic* & b.) Firm	9. Imported Firm Canadian	14. Dual Fuel and Demand Response
5. Dual Fuel and Demand Response	10. Weather (Design Day)	15. Negative Demand Growth

## Base Case Solutions: Details and Assumed Costs

	Cross-Regional Pipeline	LNG Imports	Dual Fuel and DR	Economic Imported Energy	Firm Imported Energy
<b>Assumed Cost Components</b>	Annual carrying cost of incremental pipeline	Annual cost of ensuring incremental LNG supply	Out-of-market costs (“uplift”) to ensure generator cost recovery	Annual carrying cost of incremental transmission line in the US	Annual carrying costs of: 1. Incremental transmission line in the US 2. Building a new dam
<b>Solution Description</b>	1.2 Bcf/d pipeline into Eastern Massachusetts	4-5 additional cargo ships (~18 Bcf)	2.3 TWh of energy in Jan & Feb, M-F on peak	1200 MW HVDC from HQ to ENE, Economic Dispatch	1200 MW HVDC from HQ to ENE + new dam = 24/7/365

# Major Assumptions: Electric Power

Assumption	Base Case	High Demand	Low Demand
<b>Load Growth</b>	Same as the 2013 ISO-NE Capacity, Energy, Loads and Transmission 2013 – 2022 (CELT)	Same as Base Case	Limited demand growth
<b>Energy Efficiency</b>	As projected by the 2013 ISO-NE CELT	Energy Efficiency declines slightly from the Base Case, leading to slightly higher load growth	Completely offsets load growth
<b>Renewable Portfolio Standards (RPS)</b>	Each New England state meets 100% of its RPS target No stricter regulations on hydraulic fracturing; Federal GHG emissions program in 2020	Each New England state meets 75% of its RPS target	Same as Base Case
<b>Environmental Policy</b>	No stricter regulations on hydraulic fracturing; Fed. GHG emissions program in 2020	Same as Base Case	Same as Base Case
<b>Generation Capacity</b>	Nuclear deactivation occurs between 2032-2035; Later period capacity additions	Nuclear deactivation occurs between 2027-2030	Same as Base Case



# Major Assumptions: Natural Gas

Assumption	Base Case	High Demand	Low Demand
<b>Demand Growth</b>	Residential, Commercial and Industrial (R-C-I) demand growth of 1.6% per year	High R-C-I demand growth, at 2.2%, with policy incentives	No demand growth
<b>LNG Exports and Imports</b>	Exports from Gulf Coast and West Coast; Imports - Distrigas supplies will sharply decline relative to 2011 but gradually increase starting in 2019; Canaport supplies will decline after firm supply contract expires in Oct 2013	Additional 4 Bcf/d of export from the Gulf Coast and West Coast; Imports Same as Base Case	Same as Base Case
<b>Pipeline Infrastructure</b>	Algonquin Incremental Market (AIM) expansion in-service by 2016	AIM in-service by 2016 Maritimes & Northeast Pipeline (M&NP) can reverse flow on an economic basis to meet demand growth from Maine and Maritimes	Same as Base Case
<b>Natural Gas Supply</b>	Marcellus grows at 6% per year; Eastern Canadian production increases sharply in 2014 to >350 MMcf/d and then gradually declines through 2020	Same as Base Case	Same as Base Case

# Scenario Analysis: High and Low Gas Demand Forecasts

## High Demand Scenario

## Low Demand Scenario




# Phase III: Results

---


# Historical and Projected Natural Gas Basis in New England: Base Case - No Incremental Infrastructure (besides AIM)

Projected Algonquin, City-gates Basis to Henry Hub



# Historical and Projected Winter Daily Basis: Base Case


## Historical and Projected Daily Winter Basis - Algonquin, city-gate






# Projected Monthly Natural Gas Basis: Cross-Regional Pipeline

Projected Algonquin, City-gate Basis - Scenario Comparison




# Projected Winter Daily Natural Gas Basis: Cross-Regional Pipeline

## Historical and Projected Daily Winter Basis - Algonquin, City-gate



# Projected Electricity Prices: Cross-Regional Pipeline

## Historical and Projected - Boston Electric Prices<sup>1</sup>



# Hydro Solution Analysis in the Gas-Electric Study

## Economic Based Imports

## Firm Imports


### Both assume cost of a new 1200 MW line

- Assumes import levels determined by energy needs & price differentials in New England & other markets
- Assumes firm import levels by contract (24/7/365)
- *Assumes additional cost of new dam at cost of service*
- Enables imports even during Canadian winter peak

**Both reduce natural gas demand in New England**  
**Both lower regional electric prices in New England**

- Greater reduction in gas demand during winter peaks
- Greater reduction in electric prices

# Economic vs. Firm Hydro Energy Imports



# Related Work: Hydro Analysis Update

## Hydro Whitepaper

*Complete*


- Context for policymakers
- Overview of New England's competitive energy markets, New England & Eastern Canadian Provinces' generation resource mixes
- Power system synergies between Eastern Canadian Provinces & New England
- Potential benefits & risks associated with increasing hydro imports, need for resource tracking system
- Options for increasing hydro imports & implications for further consideration

## Hydro Analysis

*Underway*


- Analyzing economic & emissions implications of adding 3,600 MW of imports
- Assuming incremental imports via 3 new *hypothetical* 1200 MW lines from different points in Canada into different areas in New England
  1. New Brunswick to MA
  2. Quebec through NY to CT
  3. Quebec to VT
- Assuming 2 hydro supply outlooks
  1. Base Supply Case:  
existing and under construction
  2. Alternative Supply Case:  
Base Case + 5000 MW  
(permitted and proposed)
- Cost of Service basis. Will not reflect prices that would emerge in an RFP or via negotiations

# Base Case: Solution Costs and Benefits



# Historical and Projected Natural Gas Basis in New England: High Demand - No Incremental Infrastructure (besides AIM)


## Projected Algonquin, City-gate Basis - Scenario Comparison






# Historical and Projected Winter Daily Basis: High Demand Scenario

## Historical and Projected Daily Winter Basis - Algonquin, city-gate




# Historical and Projected Electricity Prices in New England: High Demand - No Incremental Infrastructure (besides AIM)

Historical and Projected - Boston Electric Prices




# High Demand Scenario: Costs and Benefits




# Design Day Conditions: Electricity Price Spikes

Historical and Projected - Boston Electric Prices




# Historical and Projected Natural Gas Basis in New England: Low Demand - No Incremental Infrastructure (besides AIM)

## Projected Algonquin, City-gate Basis - Scenario Comparison




# Historical and Projected Winter Daily Basis in New England: Base Case vs. Low Demand - No Incremental Infrastructure

## Historical and Projected Daily Winter Basis - Algonquin, city-gate




# Low Demand Scenario: with Spectra's AIM Project

Frequency of Daily Load Surpassing the 75% Threshold by Region



# Negative Demand Growth: Projected Natural Gas Basis

Projected Algonquin, City-gate Basis - Scenario Comparison





# Black & Veatch Findings

- In the absence of infrastructure or demand reduction solutions, New England will experience capacity constraints that will result in high natural gas & electric prices
- Gas-supply requirements driven by episodes of extremely cold weather can be very costly & create significant reliability risks
- Short- & long-term solutions are needed to relieve the natural gas market constraints under the Base Case & High Demand Scenarios
- No long-term infrastructure solutions are necessary under the Low Demand Scenario; The costs of measures that could bring about the Low Demand Scenario, an additional alternative, would require study
- In the absence of demand reduction solutions, a Cross-Regional Natural Gas Pipeline solution, after construction and operational costs, presents higher net economic benefits to New England consumers than do alternative long-term solutions studied

## Some State Observations

- A new natural gas pipeline currently in process toward operation provides significant economic benefits to electricity customers under all scenarios studied.
- An *additional* hypothetical pipeline provides the most substantial economic net benefits to electricity consumers of all solutions studied under the Base Case & High Demand Case.
- The actual cost to consumers for incremental hydroelectric power is currently unknown. Study assumes cost of service based pricing.
- Reducing consumers' demand for electricity & natural gas to the extent assumed in the Low Demand Case eliminates the need for consumers to invest in infrastructure. Further analysis would be required to determine whether policies that would result in a Low Demand Scenario are cost-competitive with infrastructure investments.

# Study Limitations

- The study is designed to provided policymakers with economic analysis
  - It is not a plan
  - It will not simulate gas pressures or power flows – not a hydraulic model
- The study relies on simplistic representations of the natural gas pipeline network & of the electric transmission system
  - Computer models use city gates (gas) & load zones (electric) to develop prices
    - Forecasts of gas market prices are on a monthly basis.
    - Forecasts of electricity prices are on an hourly basis.
- Input assumptions & cost estimates are not facts
  - Fuel prices, whether & when generators may retire or expand, implications of environmental requirements & the extent to which states achieve policy objectives are subjective
  - Assumptions in this study are based on NESCOE's best judgment at a point in time and Black & Veatch's industry knowledge & project experience

*In the fall of 2013, states will consider the path forward*

---

## Questions?

For additional information:

[www.nescoe.com/Gas\\_Supply\\_Study.html](http://www.nescoe.com/Gas_Supply_Study.html)