UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

)	
The Connecticut Light and Power Company)	Docket No. ER25-747-000
)	

COMMENTS OF THE NEW ENGLAND STATES COMMITTEE ON ELECTRICITY

Pursuant to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (the "Commission") December 18, 2024 Combined Notice of Filings #1, the New England States Committee on Electricity ("NESCOE") hereby submits these comments in the above-captioned proceeding. On December 18, 2024, the Connecticut Light and Power Company ("CL&P") filed (the "Filing"), pursuant to section 205 and 219 of the Federal Power Act ("FPA") and Order No. 679, a request for an abandoned plant incentive for a transmission project at the Huntsbrook Junction in eastern Connecticut (the "Huntsbrook Project") and a 50 basis point adder to CL&P's base return on equity for the project for CL&P's participation in the ISO-NE Regional Transmission Organization ("RTO").

For the reasons described at greater length below, NESCOE strongly supports CL&P's Filing and requests that FERC grant CL&P's requests. In these comments, NESCOE describes why it supports the project, describes why it believes that the unique context of the project makes

On December 20, 2024, NESCOE filed a doc-less motion to intervene in this proceeding. NESCOE is the Regional State Committee for New England, representing the collective positions of the six New England states in regional electricity matters.

Capitalized terms not defined in this filing are intended to have the meaning given to such in the ISO New England Inc. ("ISO-NE") Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff ("Tariff"), the Second Restated New England Power Pool ("NEPOOL") Agreement, the Participants Agreement, and, as applicable, the Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards.

an abandoned plant incentive particularly appropriate, describes how the project is consistent with FERC precedent promoting developer-state cooperation, and describes its own analysis of the project's benefits and how its results are consistent with the results of CL&P's analysis.

I. DESCRIPTION OF COMMENTER

NESCOE is the Regional State Committee ("RSC") for New England. It is governed by a board of managers appointed by the Governors of Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont and is funded through a regional tariff that ISO-NE administers.² NESCOE's mission is to represent the interests of the citizens of the New England region by advancing policies that will provide electricity at the lowest possible price over the long term, consistent with maintaining reliable service and environmental quality.³ These comments represent the collective view of the six New England States.

II. BACKGROUND

As described at greater length in the Filing and herein, CL&P's request here is the first FERC-facing step in a state-led effort to construct additional transmission in New England that will provide benefits to consumers, including power system reliability and affordability. This state-led effort began on September 25, 2023, when participating New England states invited proposals from developers for a potential application for funding under the U.S. Department of Energy's ("DOE") Grid Innovation Program ("GIP").⁴ One of the proposals that the states

² ISO New England Inc., 121 FERC ¶ 61,105 (2007).

See Sept. 8, 2006 NESCOE Term Sheet ("NESCOE Term Sheet") that was filed for information as Exhibit A to the Memorandum of Understanding among ISO-NE, the New England Power Pool ("NEPOOL"), and NESCOE (the "NESCOE MOU"). Informational Filing of the New England States Committee on Electricity, Docket No. ER07-1324-000 (filed Nov. 21, 2007). Pursuant to the NESCOE MOU, the NESCOE Term Sheet is the binding obligation of ISO-NE, NEPOOL, and NESCOE.

⁴ Filing, Transmittal Letter ("Transmittal Letter"), at 4.

received was CL&P's proposal to construct the Huntsbrook Project.⁵ The New England states evaluated proposal submissions and ultimately elected to include the Huntsbrook Project in a portfolio of proposed projects referred to as "Power Up New England" ("Power Up").⁶ Power Up is designed to increase electric reliability, significantly reduce wholesale energy costs for New England consumers, deliver benefits to local communities, and provide quality, equitable job and workforce opportunities.⁷

In January 2024, agencies for all six New England states submitted a concept paper to DOE in support of Power Up, and in April 2024, the states, together with CL&P and other project partners, submitted a full application to DOE. ⁸ On August 6, 2024, DOE announced that it had selected Power Up to receive \$389 million in funding through the GIP. ⁹ CL&P estimates project costs for the Huntsbrook Project at \$223.7 million and estimates that it will receive approximately \$89 million in grant money, which would result in DOE funding approximately 40 percent of the Huntsbrook Project's estimated costs. ¹⁰ In addition to the Huntsbrook Project, the DOE award will also support two other projects included in Power Up—a second offshore wind connection point developed by New England Power d/b/a National Grid ("National Grid") and a long-duration energy storage system in Northern Maine. ¹¹

-

⁵ *Id*.

⁶ *Id*.

See Grid Resilience and Innovation Partnerships Program Fact Sheet, available at https://www.energy.gov/sites/default/files/2024-10/MassDeptEnergyResources GRIP%202 40103b Fact Sheet.pdf

⁸ Transmittal Letter, at 4.

⁹ *Id*.

¹⁰ *Id.* at 4–5.

¹¹ Filing, Attachment A, Testimony of Ben D'Antonio ("D'Antonio Testimony"), at 5:11–6:1.

Following the submission of the application to DOE, NESCOE negotiated a term sheet with CL&P and Power Up co-developer National Grid (the "Term Sheet") concerning the cost recovery and cost management of the two Power Up transmission projects: the Huntsbrook Project and National Grid's project. 12 The Term Sheet calls for CL&P and National Grid to seek "regional cost allocation as endorsed by the New England states and will propose to spread the allocated revenue requirements across all regional network load in New England on a load ratio basis."13 As to cost transparency, the Term Sheet creates a process for NESCOE to engage a consultant to review the ongoing project costs of Power Up's transmission projects and to share those results with New England stakeholders through the Planning Advisory Committee. 14 The Term Sheet further provides that NESCOE will have the right to cancel a transmission owner's project if the projected project costs exceed certain cost thresholds. ¹⁵ In addition, the Term Sheet also provides for incremental rate of return on common equity ("ROE") reductions for a project if its cost overruns exceed certain thresholds when measured against the transmission owner's final project budget. 16 Finally, as is most relevant here, under the Term Sheet and in connection with the consumer protections including the termination rights that NESCOE negotiated, NESCOE agreed to support the transmission owners' requests for "recovery of 100%" of prudently incurred project costs, inclusive of any development costs, investment in cancelled plant, and ISO-NE deposits and/or withdrawal penalties, if their respective project is cancelled, terminated, or abandoned."17

See Filing, Attachment C, Term Sheet.

Id. at 2.

Id. at 3.

Id. at 4.

Id. at 5.

¹⁷ *Id.* at 1.

NESCOE, through a vote of its managers—each appointed by the governors of their respective states—voted to adopt and support the Term Sheet. The vote was unanimous, with managers representing all six New England states voting in favor.

III. COMMENTS

A. NESCOE Supports Power Up and CL&P's Requested Relief.

NESCOE strongly supports the Huntsbrook Project and CL&P's Filing. The Huntsbrook Project is a significant component of Power Up, which was developed through a state-led process and presents a tremendous opportunity to provide benefits to consumers and support the needs of the future electric grid. As described in § II *supra* and the CL&P's Filing, the Huntsbrook Project will increase electric reliability in New England, reduce wholesale costs for customers, and reduce carbon emissions. The Huntsbrook Project will receive significant funding from the DOE—approximately 40 percent of its costs based on CL&P's current estimates.

This funding will offset the costs paid by ratepayers because funding from DOE will be treated as a credit to rate base and thus the transmission owners will not receive a return on that portion of their investment. ¹⁸ In addition, NESCOE has negotiated favorable terms for consumers in the Term Sheet that would not apply as a matter of course to a typical New England transmission project. ¹⁹ The consumer benefits of the Term Sheet include the transmission owners funding a consultant who will review and report on costs on an annual basis for NESCOE and other stakeholders in the region, a provision for NESCOE to cancel a project if its costs exceed its original estimates (*i.e.*, their estimates submitted to DOE in the Power Up

-

See Term Sheet, at 2.

See Term Sheet, at 5 (recognizing the unique nature of Power Up).

application), and ROE reductions if a project continues but its costs exceed certain benchmarks. ²⁰ Considering the significant regional benefits that the Huntsbrook Project will provide to New England customers, the substantial portion of the costs defrayed by DOE funding, and the cost containment and transparency measures that CL&P has agreed to in the Term Sheet, NESCOE strongly believes that the Huntsbrook Project is in the public interest.

NESCOE also strongly supports CL&P's request for an abandoned plant incentive. ²¹ In Order No. 679, FERC stated that "We find that an applicant may request 100 percent of prudently-incurred costs associated with abandoned transmission projects can be included in transmission rates if such abandonment is outside the control of management." ²² Although NESCOE would ordinarily be skeptical of a request for an incentive that would allow a transmission developer to recover 100 percent of its prudently incurred costs for its abandoned plant, NESCOE agrees with CL&P that the full abandoned plant incentive is just and reasonable here given the uniqueness of the Huntsbrook Project and the interrelated provisions in the Term Sheet. CL&P's profitability for the Huntsbrook Project is lower than an ordinary project of the same size because the Huntsbrook Project will be financed in large part by a federal grant, and therefore CL&P will not earn a return on the portion of the investment reimbursed by the grant. Thus, the "reward" for CL&P for developing the Huntsbrook Project is lower than a typical

_

See Term Sheet.

In addition to an abandoned plant incentive for the Huntsbrook Project, CL&P also requests an RTO Participation Incentive if the project is placed into service and delivered into the control of ISO-NE. See Transmittal Letter, at 14. NESCOE agreed in the Term Sheet that CL&P could recover its full FERC-approved New England ROE, which currently includes the RTO Participation Incentive. See Term Sheet, at 2. Accordingly, as part of the overall negotiated package reflected in the Term Sheet, NESCOE also supports CL&P's request that the Commission confirm, to the extent necessary, that CL&P will be eligible to receive its full FERC-approved New England ROE as then in effect for the Huntsbrook Project.

Promoting Transmission Investment Through Pricing Reform, Order No. 679, 116 FERC ¶ 61,057, at P 163 ("Order No. 679"), order on reh'g, Order No. 679-A, 117 FERC ¶ 61,345 (2006) ("Order No. 679-A"), order on reh'g, 119 FERC ¶ 61,062 (2007).

transmission project for CL&P, so measures that reduce the "risk" for CL&P are more appropriate than they would be in the typical case. In addition, through the Term Sheet, CL&P undertook an additional risk in providing NESCOE the right to cancel the project under certain circumstances—a right that NESCOE would not typically have for a transmission project. Simple fairness suggests that CL&P should be allowed to recover 100 percent of its prudently incurred costs if NESCOE ultimately elects to cancel the Huntsbrook Project for reasons outside of the developer's control. Accordingly, in addition to strongly supporting the Huntsbrook Project itself, NESCOE also strongly supports CL&P's request for an abandoned plant incentive for the project.

B. Granting CL&P's Request for an Abandoned Plant Incentive Is Consistent with the Commission's Policy of Encouraging Developer and State Cooperation.

Granting CL&P's requested abandoned plant incentive is fully consistent with the Commission's policy of encouraging cooperation between developers and the states to build much-needed transmission facilities in a cost-effective manner. In its policy statement on State Voluntary Agreements to Plan & Pay for Transmission Facilities ("Policy Statement on Voluntary Agreements"), the Commission stated that voluntary agreements between the states and transmission developers can facilitate the development of "cost-effective and reliable transmission facilities" by "for example, providing states with a way to prioritize, plan, and pay for transmission facilities that, for whatever reason, are not being developed pursuant to the regional transmission planning processes required by Order No. 1000."²³

_

²³ State Voluntary Agreements to Plan & Pay for Transmission Facilities, 175 F.E.R.C. P61,225, at P 2 (2021).

As the Commission recognized in its Policy Statement on Voluntary Agreements, the collaboration between transmission developers and states can result in approaches to developing cost-effective transmission that might not be possible through other existing processes.

NESCOE respectfully submits that those ideals have been realized in the New England states' collaboration with CL&P and National Grid on Power Up. As discussed *supra*, the Huntsbrook Project and the larger Power Up portfolio of projects were developed through a state-led process that ultimately led to securing significant federal funding that will materially defray the costs that will ultimately fall on ratepayers. Moreover, in addition to the state-developer cooperation that led to the design of the Power Up portfolio, NESCOE, CL&P, and partner National Grid negotiated the Term Sheet. As part of the Term Sheet negotiations, NESCOE, has, *inter alia*, agreed to a cost allocation methodology, consented to support an abandoned plant incentive, negotiated a right to terminate the project under certain circumstances, and created a mechanism to reduce CL&P's ROE in the event that the project continues but cost overruns reach certain thresholds.

A denial of the abandoned plant incentive here would upend the first-of-its kind negotiated compromise reflected in the Term Sheet, which could put Power Up and its many consumer benefits at risk. Lastly, it may also discourage future efforts between the states and transmission owners to develop new cost-effective facilities, putting at risk the Commission's policy of encouraging voluntary developer and state cooperation. Therefore, for these reasons, NESCOE respectfully requests that the Commission grant CL&P's requested abandoned plant incentive to allow NESCOE to effectuate its agreement with CL&P and deliver the benefits of Power Up to consumers in New England.

C. CL&P Has Shown That the Huntsbrook Project Will Bring Net Benefits to New England Customers, and CL&P's Evidence Is Consistent with NESCOE's Own Internal Analysis.

Finally, NESCOE also agrees that CL&P has made the necessary showing that the Huntsbrook Project will provide net benefits to New England ratepayers. Pursuant to Order No. 679, an applicant must show, *inter alia*, that "the facilities for which it seeks incentives either ensure reliability or reduce the cost of delivered power by reducing transmission congestion." An applicant for an abandoned plant incentive is entitled to a rebuttable presumption that it meets this standard if: (1) the transmission project results from a fair and open regional planning process that considers and evaluates the project for reliability and/or congestion and is found to be acceptable to the Commission; or (2) a project has received construction approval from an appropriate state commission or state siting authority. If an applicant is not entitled to the rebuttable presumption, it will nevertheless meet the Commission's standard if the applicant can "demonstrate that [its] project is needed to maintain reliability or reduce congestion by presenting [to the Commission] a factual record that would support such findings."²⁷

Here, CL&P does not claim that it is entitled to the rebuttable presumption. Instead, CL&P provides the analysis conducted by Ben D'Antonio, who serves as the Director of

²⁴ 18 C.F.R. pt. 35, § 35.35(d) (2024); Order No. 679.

For an abandoned plant incentive under Order No. 679, the applicant must also show that "there is a nexus between the incentive sought and the investment being made." Order No. 679, at P 76. CL&P describes the risks that an abandoned plant incentive would mitigate for the Huntsbrook Project at length in its Filing. Transmittal Letter, at 12–13; D'Antonio Testimony, at 25:1–26:17. NESCOE has nothing to add to the description of the risks in the Filing, except to note that the possibility that NESCOE could exercise its termination right under the Term Sheet if costs exceed the thresholds set forth therein also creates a risk for the Huntsbrook Project that an abandoned plant incentive would help mitigate, which militates in favor of granting CL&P the abandoned plant incentive.

²⁶ Order No. 679, at P 57.

²⁷ *Id*.

Economic Analysis and Transmission Strategy at Eversource Energy. In his testimony, Mr. D'Antonio concludes that the Huntsbrook Project delivers several benefits to New England, including "increased clean energy supply, improved reliability and resiliency, and reduced wholesale energy prices." Mr. D'Antonio calculates that a 2,400 MW injection of offshore wind enabled by the Huntsbrook Project will "reduce potential system impacts associated with a theoretical worst-case cold weather event in the region by decreasing potentially unserved energy by approximately 187,000 MWh across the 21-day study period." Mr. D'Antonio also estimates that the Huntsbrook Project will reduce wholesale energy supply costs borne by New England customers by approximately \$498 million over a ten-year period.

NESCOE agrees with Mr. D'Antonio's conclusion that Power Up will deliver net benefits to New England's ratepayers. Indeed, NESCOE conducted its own independent analysis of the expected net benefits of Power Up, which showed similar results to Mr. D'Antonio's analysis of the Huntsbrook Project. NESCOE's analysis projected the expected net present benefits of Power Up, accounting for both the costs of Power Up, net of the grant award, and Power Up's expected benefits. NESCOE also evaluated Power Up subject to several assumptions to test the transmission projects' net benefits under various possible future scenarios. For example, NESCOE tested Power Up's net benefits assuming a 150% cost overrun, assuming a 50% decrease in benefits, assuming that offshore wind projects come into service three to five years late, and using several combinations of the aforementioned

D'Antonio Testimony, at 1:4–6.

²⁹ *Id.*, at 3:6–7.

³⁰ *Id.*, at 18:3–8.

³¹ *Id.*, at 22:13–18.

assumptions, such as assuming a 50% decrease in benefits together with a 150% cost overrun.

NESCOE's analysis ultimately showed that the expected net benefits of the transmission

elements of Power Up were substantial in a base case scenario and were sufficiently robust that

they remained net positive under each of the aforementioned assumptions and combination of

assumptions. Indeed, due in large part to the significant benefits provided by the DOE grant, net

benefits remained positive unless NESCOE assumed that offshore wind projects were delayed by

several decades. Thus, based on its own analysis, NESCOE concurs with Mr. D'Antonio that the

Huntsbrook Project, as well as Power Up as a whole, will provide net benefits for New England

ratepayers.

IV. **CONCLUSION**

Therefore, for the reasons stated herein, NESCOE respectfully requests that the

Commission grant the relief requested in CL&P's Filing.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Nathan Forster

Nathan Forster, General Counsel Shannon Beale, Assistant General Counsel

New England States Committee on Electricity

P.O. Box 322

Osterville, MA 02655

Tel: (617) 431-0462

Email: nathanforster@nescoe.com

Email: shannonbeale@nescoe.com

Date: January 8, 2025

- 11 -

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

In accordance with Rule 2010 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, I hereby certify that I have this day served by electronic mail a copy of the foregoing document upon each person designated on the official service list compiled by the Secretary in this proceeding.

Dated at Osterville, Massachusetts this 8th day of January, 2025.

/s/ Nathan Forster

Nathan Forster, General Counsel Shannon Beale, Assistant General Counsel New England States Committee on Electricity P.O. Box 322 Osterville, MA 02655

Tel: (617) 431-0462

Email: nathanforster@nescoe.com
Email: shannonbeale@nescoe.com